UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,229	10/08/2003	Kui Yao	4249-0112P	5826
2292 7590 04/14/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	TALBOT, BRIAN K		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Baaranaa ta Bula 242 Cararaninati	10/680,229	YAO ET AL.				
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian K. Talbot	1715				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –						
 The amendment filed on <u>04 February 2010</u> unde a) ☐ entered. 	r 37 CFR 1.312 has been considere	ed, and has been:				
_						
b) entered as directed to matters of form not a	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.					
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.						
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)						
and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.						
d) 🛮 disapproved. See explanation below.						
e) entered in part. See explanation below.						
Newly added claims 40 and 41 set forth subject matter identical to originally filed claism 37 and 38. These claims, 37 and 38, were subject to be restricted (1/16/07) and non-elected in paper filed 2/16/07. Newly submitted claims would also be subject to the restriction for the reasons given in the paper filed 1/16/07. Hence, these claims also would be non-elected and are not properly rejoinable as requested by Applicant. Hence, the request is denied.						
	/Brian K Talbot/ Primary Examiner	·, Art Unit 1715				